arXiv:1609.03031v1 [math.NT] 10 Sep 2016

GENUS-CORRESPONDENCES RESPECTING SPINOR GENUS

JANGWON JU AND BYEONG-KWEON OH

Abstract. For two positive definite integral ternary quadratic forms f and g and a positive integer n, if $n \cdot g$ is represented by f and $n \cdot dg = df$, then the pair (f, g) is called a *representable pair by scaling* n. The set of all representable pairs in $\mathrm{gen}(f) \times \mathrm{gen}(g)$ is called a genus-correspondence. In [7], Jagy conjectured that if n is square free and the number of spinor genera in the genus of f equals to the number of spinor genera in the genus of g, then such a genus-correspondence respects spinor genus in the sense that for any representable pairs $(f, g), (f', g')$ by scaling n, $f' \in \mathrm{spn}(f)$ if and only if $g' \in \mathrm{spn}(g)$. In this article, we show that by giving a counter example, Jagy's conjecture does not hold. Furthermore, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a genus-correspondence to respect spinor genus.

1. Introduction

For a positive definite integral ternary quadratic form

$$f(x, y, z) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + pyz + qzx + rxy \quad (a, b, c, p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

it is quite an old problem determining the set $Q(f)$ of all positive integers k such that $f(x, y, z) = k$ has an integer solution. If the class number of f is one, then one may easily compute the set $Q(f)$ by using, so called, the local-global principle. However, if the class number of f is bigger than 1, then determining the set $Q(f)$ exactly seems to be quite difficult, except some very special ternary quadratic forms. If the integer k is sufficiently large, then the theorem of Duke and Schulze-Pillot in [4] implies that if k is primitively represented by the spinor genus of f, then k is represented by f itself.

Recently, W. Jagy proved in [7] that for any square free integer k that is represented by a sum of two integral squares, it is represented by any ternary quadratic form in the spinor genus $x^2 + y^2 + 16kz^2$. To prove this, he introduced, so called *a genus-correspondence*, and proved some interesting properties on the genus-correspondence. To be more precise, let $\mathrm{gen}(f)$ ($\mathrm{spn}(f)$) be the set of genus (spinor genus, respectively) of f, for any ternary quadratic form f. Let f and g be positive definite integral ternary quadratic forms, and assume that there is a positive integer n such that

$$n \cdot g \text{ is represented by } f \qquad \text{and} \qquad n \cdot dg = df. \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11E12, 11E20.

Key words and phrases. genus-correspondence, spinor genus.

This work of the second author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2014R1A1A2056296).

In this article, we denote such a pair (f, g) by a *representable pair by scaling* n. Note that $n \cdot f$ is also represented by g for any representable pair (f, g) by scaling n. As stated in [7], W. K. Chan proved that for any ternary quadratic form $f' \in \mathrm{gen}(f)$, there is a ternary quadratic form $g' \in \mathrm{gen}(g)$ such that (f', g') is a representable pair by scaling n, and conversely for any $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{gen}(g)$, there is an $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{gen}(f)$ such that $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ is also a representable pair by scaling n. Jagy defined the set of representable pairs by scaling n by a *genus-correspondence* and proved some properities on a genus-correspondence. He also conjectured that if n is square free and the number of spinor genera in the genus of f equals to the number of spinor genera in the genus of g, then such a genus-correspondence *respects spinor genus* in the sense that for any representable pairs $(f, g), (f', g')$ by scaling n, where $f' \in \mathrm{gen}(f)$ and $g' \in \mathrm{gen}(g)$,

$$f' \in \mathrm{spn}(f) \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad g' \in \mathrm{spn}(g). \tag{1.2}$$

In this article, we give an example such that Jagy's conjecture does not hold. In fact, the concept of "genus-correspondence" in [7] is a little bit ambiguous. We modify the notion of a genus-correspondence as follows: For a positive integer n, let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a subset of $\mathrm{gen}(f) \times \mathrm{gen}(g)$ such that each pair in $\mathfrak{C}$ is a representable pair by scaling n. We say $\mathfrak{C}$ is a genus-correspondence if for any $f' \in \mathrm{gen}(f)$, there is an $g' \in \mathrm{gen}(g)$ such that $(f', g') \in \mathfrak{C}$, and conversely, for any $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{gen}(g)$, there is an $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{gen}(f)$ such that $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \in \mathfrak{C}$. Note that the set of all representable pairs by scaling n is a genus-correspondence. We show that without assumption that n is square free, there is a genus-correspondence respecting spinor genus if the number of spinor genera in $\mathrm{gen}(f)$ is equal to the number of spinor genera in $\mathrm{gen}(g)$.

In Section 5, we discuss when Jagy's original conjecture is true. We provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the genus-correspondence consisting of all representable pairs by scaling n in $\mathrm{gen}(f) \times \mathrm{gen}(g)$ to respect spinor genus under the assumption that n is square free and the number of spinor genera in $\mathrm{gen}(f)$ is equal to the number of spinor genera in $\mathrm{gen}(g)$.

The subsequent discussion will be conducted in the better adapted geometric language of quadratic spaces and lattices. The term *lattice* will always refer to a *non-classic integral* $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on an n-dimensional positive definite quadratic space $\mathbb{Q}$. Here a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L is said to be non-classic integral if the norm ideal $\mathfrak{n}(L)$ of L is contained in $\mathbb{Z}$. The discriminant of a lattice L is denoted by dL and the number of (proper) spinor genera in $\mathrm{gen}(L)$ is denoted by $g(L)$. For any rational number a, L^a is the lattice whose bilinear map B is scaled by a.

Let $L = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}x_k$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank k. We write

$$L \simeq (B(x_i, x_j)).$$

The right hand side matrix is called a matrix presentation of L. If L admits an orthogonal basis $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$, then we simply write

$$L \simeq \langle Q(x_1), Q(x_2), \ldots, Q(x_k) \rangle.$$

Throughout this paper, we say a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L is *primitive* if the norm ideal $\mathfrak{n}(L)$ is exactly $\mathbb{Z}$. For a prime p, the group of units in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Unless

confusion arises, we will simply use Δ_p to denote a non-square element in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, when p is odd.

We denote by $\langle a,b,c,s,t,u\rangle$ for the ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice with a matrix presentation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & u & t \\ u & b & s \\ t & s & c \end{pmatrix},$$

for convenience. For any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L, the equivalence class containing L up to isometry is denoted by $[L]$. For any integer a, we say that $\frac{a}{2}$ is divisible by a prime p if p is odd and $a \equiv 0 \pmod p$, or $p=2$ and $a \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

Any unexplained notations and terminologies can be found in [9] or [10].

2. Watson's transformations on the set of spinor genera

Let L be a non-classic integral $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on a quadratic space V. For a prime p, we define

$$\Lambda_p(L) = \{x \in L : Q(x+z) \equiv Q(z) \pmod p \text{ for all } z \in L\}.$$

Let $\lambda_p(L)$ be the primitive lattice obtained from $\Lambda_p(L)$ by scaling $V = L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by a suitable rational number. Recall that a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L is called primitive if $\mathfrak{n}(L) = \mathbb{Z}$. For general properties of Λ_p-transformation, see [2] and [3].

For $L' \in \mathrm{gen}(L)$ ($L' \in \mathrm{spn}(L)$) and any prime p, one may easily show that $\lambda_p(L') \in \mathrm{gen}(\lambda_p(L))$ ($\lambda_p(L') \in \mathrm{spn}(\lambda_p(L))$, respectively). It is well known that as a map,

$$\lambda_p : \mathrm{gen}(L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gen}(\lambda_p(L)) \tag{2.1}$$

is surjective. Furthermore, $\lambda_p(\mathrm{spn}(L)) = \mathrm{spn}(\lambda_p(L))$. If we define $\mathrm{gen}(L)_S$ the set of all spinor genera in $\mathrm{gen}(L)$, then the map

$$\lambda_p : \mathrm{gen}(L)_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{gen}(\lambda_p(L))_S$$

given by $\mathrm{spn}(L') \mapsto \mathrm{spn}(\lambda_p(L'))$ for any $\mathrm{spn}(L') \in \mathrm{gen}(L)_S$ is well-defined and surjective. In particular, $g(L) \geqslant g(\lambda_p(L))$ for any prime p.

Henceforth, L is always a positive definite non-classic integral ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice.

Definition 2.1. For a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L and a prime p, if $g(L) = g(\lambda_p(L))$, then we say the lattice L is of H-type at p.

From the definition, if L is of H-type at p, then so is L' for any $L' \in \mathrm{gen}(L)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a primitive ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice and let p be an odd prime. Assume that after scaling by a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ suitably,*

$$L_p \simeq \langle 1, p^\alpha\epsilon_1, p^\beta\epsilon_2\rangle,$$

where $\alpha,\beta(\alpha \leqslant \beta)$ are nonnegative integers and $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2 \in \{1,\Delta_p\}$. If L is not of H-type at p, then the pairs (α,β), (ϵ_1,ϵ_2) satisfy one of the conditions in Table 1.

Table 1 (odd case)

(α, β)	(ϵ_1, ϵ_2)
$(1, 2)$	$(1, 1)$
$(1, 2)$	$(\Delta, 1)$
$(2, k),\ (k \geqslant 3)$	$(1, 1)$
$(2, 2k+1),\ (k \geqslant 1)$	$(1, \Delta)$

Proof. By 102:7 of [10], we know that

$$g(L) = [J_{\mathbb{Q}} : P_D J_{\mathbb{Q}}^L] \qquad \text{and} \qquad g(\lambda_p(L)) = [J_{\mathbb{Q}} : P_D J_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\lambda_p(L)}],$$

where D is the set of positive rational numbers. Clearly, $\theta(O^+(\lambda_p(L)_q)) = \theta(O^+(L_q))$ for any prime $q \neq p$. Now one may easily check that if the pairs (α, β), (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) do not satisfy one of the conditions in Table 1, then $\theta(O^+(\lambda_p(L)_p)) = \theta(O^+(L_p))$. This implies that $g(L) = g(\lambda_p(L))$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let L be a primitive ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. If L is not of H-type at 2, then there is an $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ such that*

$$(L^\eta)_2 \simeq \langle 1, 2^\alpha \epsilon_1, 2^\beta \epsilon_2 \rangle,$$

where $\alpha, \beta (\alpha \leqslant \beta)$ are nonnegative integers and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, and the pairs (α, β), (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) satisfy one of the conditions in Table 2.

Proof. The proof is quite similar to the above lemma. For the computation of the spinor norm map, see [5]. □

Table 2 (Even case)

(α, β)	(ϵ_1, ϵ_2)	(α, β)	(ϵ_1, ϵ_2)
$(0, 4)$	$\epsilon_1 \equiv \epsilon_2 \equiv 1\ (4)$	$(5, 6)$	$2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \in Q(\langle 1, 2\epsilon_1 \rangle)$
$(1, 6)$	$\epsilon_2 \in Q(\langle 1, 2\epsilon_1 \rangle)$	$(5, 7)$	$\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \equiv 1\ (4)$
$(2, 2)$	$\epsilon_1 = 1,\ \epsilon_2 \equiv 3\ (4)$	$(5, 8)$	$\epsilon_2 \equiv 2\epsilon_1 + 5\ (8)$
$(2, 4)$	$\epsilon_1 \equiv 1\ (4)$	$(5, 9)$	$\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \equiv 1\ (4)$
$(2, 6)$	$\epsilon_1 \equiv 1\ (4)$	$(5, 2k),\ (k \geqslant 5)$	$1 + 2\epsilon_1 \not\equiv \epsilon_2\ (8)$
$(2, 2k-1),\ (k \geqslant 4)$	$\epsilon_1 \equiv 2\epsilon_2 + 3\ (8)$	$(5, 2k+1),\ (k \geqslant 5)$	$1 + 2\epsilon_1 \not\equiv \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\ (8)$
$(2, 2k),\ (k \geqslant 4)$	$\epsilon_1 \equiv 1\ (4)$	$(6, 7)$	$5 \notin Q(\langle \epsilon_1, 2\epsilon_2 \rangle)$
$(3, 6)$	$\epsilon_2 \equiv 1\ (8)$	$(6, 9)$	$5 \notin Q(\langle \epsilon_1, 2\epsilon_2 \rangle)$
$(4, 4)$	$\epsilon_1 \equiv \epsilon_2 \equiv 1\ (4)$	$(6, 2k-1),\ (k \geqslant 6)$	$\epsilon_1 \not\equiv 5\ (8)$
$(5, 5)$	$\epsilon_2 \equiv 3\epsilon_1 + 6\ (8)$	$(6, 2k),\ (k \geqslant 6)$	$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \not\equiv 5\ (8)$ and $\epsilon_1 \not\equiv \epsilon_2 \Rightarrow \epsilon_1$ or $\epsilon_2 \equiv 1\ (8)$

Lemma 2.4. *Let p be a prime and let L be a primitive ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. As a function from $gen(L)_S$ to $gen(\lambda_p(L))_S$, λ_p is a 2^a to one function for some $a = 0, 1$ or 2.*

Proof. Note that if L is not of H-type at p, then

$$|\theta(O^+(\lambda_p(L_p)))| = \begin{cases} 4\cdot|\theta(O^+(L_p))| & \text{if } p=2,\ (\alpha,\beta)=(2,4) \text{ and } \epsilon_1\equiv\epsilon_2\equiv 1\ (4),\\ 2\cdot|\theta(O^+(L_p))| & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Suppose that $\lambda_p(\mathrm{spn}(L)) = \mathrm{spn}(M)$ with $\lambda_p(L) = M$. For any $\mathrm{spn}(M') \in \mathrm{gen}(M)_S$, there is a split rotation $\Sigma \in J_V$ such that $M' = \Sigma M$. Since

$$\lambda_p(\Sigma L) = \Sigma\lambda_p(L) = \Sigma M = M',$$

we have $\lambda_p(\mathrm{spn}(\Sigma L)) = \mathrm{spn}(M')$. Note that $L' \in \mathrm{spn}(L'')$ if and only if $\Sigma L' \in \mathrm{spn}(\Sigma L'')$, for any $L', L'' \in \mathrm{gen}(L)$. Therefore $|\lambda_p^{-1}(\mathrm{spn}(M))|$ is independent of the choices of $M \in \mathrm{gen}(\lambda_p(L))$. The lemma follows from this and the fact that λ_p is surjective and the number of spinor genera in any genus of a ternary quadratic form is a power of 2. □

3. Γ_p-transformations on the set of spinor genera

Let V be a (positive definite) ternary quadratic space and let L be a primitive ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on V. Let p be a prime such that

$$L_p \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \langle\epsilon\rangle, \text{ where } \epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

For any nonnegative integer m, let $\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$ be a genus on W such that each $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$ satisfies

$$M_p \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \langle\epsilon p^m\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad M_q \simeq (L^{p^m})_q \ \text{ for any } q \neq p.$$

Here $W = V$ if m is even, and $W = V^p$ otherwise.

For a nonnegative integer m, let $N \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ be a primitive ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. By Weak Approximation Theorem, there exists a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ for N such that

$$(B(x_i, x_j)) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \langle p^{m+1}\delta\rangle \pmod{p^{m+2}},$$

where δ is an integer not divisible by p. We define two sublattices of N

$$\Gamma_{p,1}(N) = \mathbb{Z}px_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \mathbb{Z}x_3, \quad \Gamma_{p,2}(N) = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}px_2 + \mathbb{Z}x_3.$$

Note that $\Gamma_{p,i}(N)$ for $i = 1, 2$ depends on the choices of basis for N. However, the set $\{\Gamma_{p,1}(N), \Gamma_{p,2}(N)\}$ of sublattices of N is independent of the choices of basis for N. In fact, these two sublattices are unique sublattices of N with index p whose norm is $p\mathbb{Z}$. We say that a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice M is *a Γ_p-descendant of N* if $M \simeq \Gamma_{p,i}^{\frac{1}{p}}(N)$ for some $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let p, q be distinct primes and let $N \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ for some nonnegative integer m.*

1. *If M is a Γ_p-descendant of N, then $\lambda_q(M)$ is a Γ_p-descendant of $\lambda_q(N)$.*
2. *Assume that $N \in \mathcal{G}_{L',q}(m'+1)$ for some nonnegative integer m'. Then any Γ_q-descendant of a Γ_p-descendant of N is a Γ_p-descendant of some Γ_q-descendant of N.*

Proof. Note that if p, q are distinct primes, then $(\Gamma_{p,i}(N))_q = N_q$ and $(\Lambda_p(N))_q = N_q$. The lemma follows directly from this. □

In [8], we defined a multi-graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ and proved some properties of this graph. For those who are unfamiliar with the notations, we introduce the definition of this multi-graph briefly: the set of vertices in $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ is the set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$, say, $\{[M_1], [M_2], \dots, [M_h]\}$. The set of edges is exactly the set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$, say, $\{[N_1], [N_2], \dots, [N_k]\}$. For each equivalence class $[N_w] \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$, two vertices contained in the edge $[N_w]$ are defined by $[\Gamma_{p,1}(N_w)^{\frac{1}{p}}]$ and $[\Gamma_{p,2}(N_w)^{\frac{1}{p}}]$ that are defined above. Note that both lattices are contained in $\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$. Hence this graph might have loops or multiple edges.

Two vertices $[T_i], [T_j] \in \mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(0)$ are connected by an edge if and only if there are $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices $T_i' \in [T_i]$ and $T_j' \in [T_j]$ such that T_i' and T_j' are connected by an edge in the graph $Z(T, p)$ which is defined in [11]. If two lattices $T_i, T_j \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(0)$ are spinor equivalent, then both $[T_i]$ and $[T_j]$ are contained in the same connected component. Moreover, the set of vertices in each connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(0)$ consists of at most two spinor genera, and it consists of only one spinor genus if and only if $\mathbf{j}(p) \in P_D J_{\mathbb{Q}}^K$, where D is the set of positive rational numbers and

$$\mathbf{j}(p) = (j_q) \in J_{\mathbb{Q}} \quad \text{such that } j_p = p \text{ and } j_q = 1 \text{ for any prime } q \neq p.$$

We say that $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(0)$ is of O-type if the set of vertices in the connected component of the graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(0)$ consists of only one spinor genus, and it is of E-type otherwise.

Now, we consider the general case. For any positive integer m, we say that a graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ is of E-type if m is even and $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(0)$ is of E-type, and it is of O-type otherwise.

Assume that $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ is of E-type and $M \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$. Since the map

$$\lambda_p^{\frac{m}{2}} : \mathrm{spn}(T) \to \mathrm{spn}(\lambda_p^{\frac{m}{2}}(T))$$

is surjective for any $T \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M' \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$ such that $M' \notin \mathrm{spn}(M)$ and $[M']$ is connected to $[M]$ by a path by Lemma 3.5 in [8]. Note that $g(\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)) = g(\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m'))$ if and only if $m \equiv m' \pmod 2$, where $g(\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m))$ is the number of spinor genera contained in $\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$. In particular, $g(\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)) = g(\mathcal{G}_{L,p}(0))$ for any even m. So, every $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice M' satisfying the above condition is contained in a single spinor genus. From the existence of such a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice M', we may define

$$\mathrm{Cspn}(M) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{spn}(M) & \text{if } \mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m) \text{ is of } O\text{-type}, \\ \mathrm{spn}(M) \cup \mathrm{spn}(M') & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In Lemma 3.10 of [8], we proved that the set of all vertices in the connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ containing $[M]$ is the set of equivalence classes in $\mathrm{Cspn}(M)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For an integer $m \geqslant 0$, let $[N] \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ be an edge of the graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$. Then the set of all edges in the connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ containing $[N]$ is the set of all classes in Cspn(N).*

Proof. It suffices to show that the set of edges in the connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ containing $[N]$ is exactly the set of vertices in the connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ containing the vertex $[N]$ by Lemma 3.10 of [8]. Note that if N_1 and N_2 are different Γ_p-descendant of K for some $K \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+2)$, then $\lambda_p(K)$ is a Γ_p-descendant of both N_1 and N_2. This implies that every class in $\mathrm{Cspn}(N)$ is contained in the set of edges in the connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ containing $[N]$. Conversely, assume that $[N']$ is contained in the set of edges in the connected component of $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ containing $[N]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice M that is a Γ_p-descendant of both N and N'. If $m = 0$ or $m \geqslant 1$ and $\lambda_p(N) \neq \lambda_p(N')$, then there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice K whose Γ_p-descendants are both N and N' by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 of [8], that is, as vertices, $[N]$ and $[N']$ are contained in the edge $[K]$. Now suppose that $m \geqslant 1$ and $\lambda_p(N) = \lambda_p(N')$. Then in this case, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $S \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ such that $\lambda_p(S) \neq \lambda_p(N)$ and one of Γ_p-descendants of S is M (see Lemma 3.3 of [8]). Hence there are edges containing $\{[N],[S]\}$ and $\{[S],[N']\}$ in the graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$. This completes the proof. □

4. GENUS-CORRESPONDENCES

Let n be a positive integer. Let M be a ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on a quadratic space V and let N be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on V^n. Assume that there is a representation

$$\phi : M^n \to N \quad \text{such that} \quad [N : \phi(M^n)] = n.$$

Then clearly, N^n is also represented by M. For any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M_1 \in \mathrm{gen}(M)$, since $(M_1^n)_p \simeq (M^n)_p \to N_p$ for any prime p, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $N_1 \in \mathrm{gen}(N)$ that represents $(M_1)^n$. Conversely, for any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $N' \in \mathrm{gen}(N)$, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M' \in \mathrm{gen}(M)$ such that $(M')^n \to N'$ (see [7]). For $M_1 \in \mathrm{gen}(M)$ and $N_1 \in \mathrm{gen}(N)$ such that $(M_1)^n \to N_1$, the pair $([N_1],[M_1]) \in \mathrm{gen}(N)/\sim \times \mathrm{gen}(M)/\sim$ is called *a representable pair by scaling* n. A subset $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathrm{gen}(N)/\sim \times \mathrm{gen}(M)/\sim$ consisting of representable pairs by scaling n is called *a genus-correspondence* if for any $N' \in \mathrm{gen}(N)$, there is an $M' \in \mathrm{gen}(M)$ such that $([N'],[M']) \in \mathfrak{C}$, and vice versa. We say a genus-correspondence $\mathfrak{C}$ *respects spinor genus* if for any two $([N_1],[M_1]),([N_2],[M_2]) \in \mathfrak{C}$,

$$N_1 \in \mathrm{spn}(N_2) \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad M_1 \in \mathrm{spn}(M_2).$$

Concerning this, Jagy conjectured in [7] that if n is square free and $g(N) = g(M)$, then any genus-correspondence respects spinor genus. However, the following example shows that the conjecture is not true.

Example 4.1. Let $N_1 = \langle 12 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 5 \\ 5 & 135 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_1 = \langle 1,\ 20,\ 80 \rangle$. Then one may easily check that $g(M_1) = g(N_1) = 2$, $dN_1 = 15 \cdot dM_1$ and M_1^{15} is represented by N_1. The genus of N_1 consists of the following 12 lattices up to isometry:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
N_1 = \langle 12, 15, 135, 5, 0, 0 \rangle, & N_2 = \langle 3, 7, 1200, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, & N_3 = \langle 3, 60, 140, 20, 0, 0 \rangle, \\
N_4 = \langle 3, 27, 300, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, & N_5 = \langle 27, 27, 40, 10, 10, 3 \rangle, & N_6 = \langle 12, 28, 83, 12, 4, -4 \rangle, \\
N_7 = \langle 12, 28, 75, 0, 0, 4 \rangle, & N_8 = \langle 15, 35, 48, 0, 0, 5 \rangle, & N_9 = \langle 7, 12, 300, 0, 0, 2 \rangle, \\
N_{10} = \langle 12, 43, 60, 20, 0, 6 \rangle, & N_{11} = \langle 8, 12, 303, 4, -2, 4 \rangle, & N_{12} = \langle 12, 35, 60, 10, 0, 0 \rangle.
\end{array}$$

Note that up to isometry,

$$\mathrm{spn}(N_1) = \{N_i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{spn}(N_7) = \{N_i : 7 \leqslant i \leqslant 12\}.$$

The genus of M_1 consists of the following 6 lattices up to isometry:

$$\begin{array}{lll} M_1 = \langle 1, 20, 80, 0, 0, 0\rangle, & M_2 = \langle 5, 16, 20, 0, 0, 0\rangle, & M_3 = \langle 4, 20, 25, 10, 0, 0\rangle, \\ M_4 = \langle 4, 5, 80, 0, 0, 0\rangle, & M_5 = \langle 9, 9, 20, 0, 0, 1\rangle, & M_6 = \langle 4, 20, 21, 0, 2, 0\rangle. \end{array}$$

Note that up to isometry,

$$\mathrm{spn}(M_1) = \{M_i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{spn}(M_4) = \{M_i : 4 \leqslant i \leqslant 6\}.$$

Define a genus-correspondence $\mathfrak{S}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S} = \{&([N_1],[M_1]),([N_9],[M_1]),([N_3],[M_2]),([N_7],[M_2]),\\ &([N_5],[M_3]),([N_{11}],[M_3]),([N_2],[M_4]),([N_8],[M_4]),\\ &([N_6],[M_5]),([N_{10}],[M_5]),([N_4],[M_6]),([N_{12}],[M_6])\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then one may easily check that $\mathfrak{S}$ does not respect spinor genus.

In the remaining, we show that if we take a genus-correspondence suitably, then it respects spinor genus under the assumption that $g(M) = g(N)$. We do not assume that n is square free for a while.

Lemma 4.2. *For ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices N and M, assume that $([N],[M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. Then for any $N' \in spn(N)$, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $M' \in spn(M)$ such that $([N'],[M'])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. Conversely, for any $M'' \in spn(M)$ there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $N'' \in spn(N)$ such that $([N''],[M''])$ is a representable pair by scaling n.*

Proof. Since $([N],[M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n, $\sigma(M^n) \subseteq N$, for some isometry $\sigma \in O(V)$. Let $N' \in \mathrm{spn}(N)$. Then there are $\sigma' \in O(V)$ and $\Sigma \in J'_V$ such that $N' = \sigma'\Sigma N$. If we define $M' = \sigma'\Sigma\sigma(M) = \sigma'\sigma(\sigma^{-1}\Sigma\sigma)M \in \mathrm{spn}(M)$, then

$$(M')^n = \sigma'\Sigma\sigma(M^n) \subseteq \sigma'\Sigma N = N'.$$

The converse can be proved similarly. □

A bipartite graph with partitions U and V of vertices and with E of edges is denoted by $\mathfrak{G}(U,V,E)$, or simply $\mathfrak{G}(U,V)$. For each vertex $u \in U$ of the bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}(U,V,E)$, we define $\mathcal{N}(u) = \{v : uv \in E\}$. For a vertex $v \in V$, $\mathcal{N}(v)$ is defined similarly. The graph $\mathfrak{G}(U,V,E)$ is called (a,b)-*regular* if $\mathcal{N}(u) = a$ for any $u \in U$, and $\mathcal{N}(v) = b$ for any $v \in V$.

For two bipartite graphs $\mathfrak{G}(U,V,E)$ and $\mathfrak{G}(V,W,E')$, we define a *juxtaposition bipartite graph* of two bipartite graphs, denoted by $\mathfrak{G}_V(U,W,\tilde{E})$, as follows; U and W are partitions of vertices and there is an edge $uw \in \tilde{E}$ for $u \in U$ and $w \in W$ if and only if there is a vertex $v \in V$ such that $uv \in E$ and $vw \in E'$.

For a representable pair $([N],[M])$ by scaling n, we define a bipartite graph

$$\mathfrak{G}(N,M) = \mathfrak{G}(\mathrm{gen}(N)_S, \mathrm{gen}(M)_S)$$

such that two vertices $\mathrm{spn}(N') \in \mathrm{gen}(N)_S$ and $\mathrm{spn}(M') \in \mathrm{gen}(M)_S$ are connected by an edge if and only if there are lattices $N'' \in \mathrm{spn}(N')$ and $M'' \in \mathrm{spn}(M')$ such that $([N''], [M''])$ is a representable pair by scaling n.

Lemma 4.3. *Let N and M be two $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices such that $([N], [M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. Then for some positive integers u, v such that $ug(N) = vg(M)$, the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N, M)$ is (u, v)-regular. In particular, if $g(N) = g(M)$, then the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N, M)$ is a regular bipartite graph.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{spn}(N') \in \mathrm{gen}(N)_S$ and $\mathrm{spn}(M') \in \mathrm{gen}(M)_S$ be two vertices the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N, M)$ such that $\mathrm{spn}(M') \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{spn}(N'))$. By Lemma 4.2, we may assume that (N', M') is a representable pair by scaling n, that is, there is a representation $\phi \in O(V)$ such that $\phi((M')^n) \subset N'$. Let $\mathrm{spn}(N'')$ be another vertex in $\mathrm{gen}(N)_S$. Choose a split rotation $\Sigma \in J_V$ such that $N'' = \Sigma N'$. Since

$$\phi(\phi^{-1}\Sigma\phi\Sigma^{-1}(\Sigma(M')^n)) \subset \Sigma(N'),$$

$(\Sigma N', \phi^{-1}\Sigma\phi\Sigma^{-1}(\Sigma(M')))$ is a representable pair by scaling n. Furthermore, since $\phi^{-1}\Sigma\phi\Sigma^{-1} \in J'_V$, we have $\mathrm{spn}(\Sigma M') \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{spn}(N''))$. Note that for any two lattices $M', M'' \in \mathrm{gen}(M)$, $M' \in \mathrm{spn}(M'')$ if and only if $\Sigma M' \in \mathrm{spn}(\Sigma M'')$. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{spn}(N'))| = |\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{spn}(N''))|.$$

Similarly, we also have $|\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{spn}(M'))| = |\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{spn}(M''))|$ for any $M', M'' \in \mathrm{gen}(M)$. The lemma follows from this. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let N and M be two $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices such that $([N], [M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. If $g(N) = g(M)$, then there is a genus-correspondence respecting spinor genus.*

Proof. We may assume that

$$\mathrm{gen}(N)_S = \{\mathrm{spn}(N_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, g\} \text{ and } \mathrm{gen}(M)_S = \{\mathrm{spn}(M_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, g\}.$$

Since the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N, M)$ defined above is a regular bipartite graph, there is a perfect matching by Hall's marriage theorem. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that each $([N_i], [M_i])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. We define a genus-correspondence $\mathfrak{S}$ as follows: for $([N'], [M']) \in \mathrm{gen}(N)/\sim \times \mathrm{gen}(M)/\sim$, $([N'], [M']) \in \mathfrak{S}$ if and only if $([N'], [M'])$ is a representable pair by scaling n and there is an i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant g)$ such that $N' \in \mathrm{spn}(N_i)$ and $M' \in \mathrm{spn}(M_i)$. Then by Lemma 4.2, $\mathfrak{S}$ is a genus-correspondence respecting spinor genus. □

5. Genus-correspondence respecting spinor genus

From now on, we assume that n is a square free positive integer. Let N and M be ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices such that the pair $([N], [M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. In this section, We find a necessary and sufficient condition for the genus-correspondence $\mathrm{gen}(N)/\sim \times \mathrm{gen}(M)/\sim$ to respect spinor genus under the assumption that $g(M) = g(N)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let p be a prime and let N and M be primitive ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices. Then $([N],[M])$ is a representable pair by scaling p if and only if $M^p \simeq \Lambda_p(N)$ or M is a Γ_p-descendant of N.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that M^p is a sublattice of N with index p. Then there is a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ for N such that $M^p = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \mathbb{Z}(px_3)$. Hence there are integers a, b, c, s, t, u such that

$$(B(x_i, x_j)) = \begin{pmatrix} pa & \frac{pu}{2} & \frac{t}{2} \\ \frac{pu}{2} & pb & \frac{s}{2} \\ \frac{t}{2} & \frac{s}{2} & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence if $s \equiv t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then clearly, $M^p = \Lambda_p(N)$. If s or t is not divisible by p, then a Jordan decomposition of N_p has an isotropic $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_p$-modular component. Furthermore, M^p is a sublattice of N with index p whose norm is $p\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore M is a Γ_p-descendant of N. Note that the converse is almost trivial. □

Lemma 5.2. *For two ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices N and M, assume that $([N],[M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. For any prime p dividing n, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $N(p)$ such that $([N],[N(p)])$ is a representable pair by scaling p, and $([N(p)],[M])$ is a representable pair by scaling $\frac{n}{p}$.*

Proof. By assumption, we may assume that M^n is a sublattice of N with index n. Choose a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ for N such that $M^n = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \mathbb{Z}(nx_3)$. Define $N(p) = (\mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \mathbb{Z}(px_3))^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then one may easily show that $\mathfrak{n}(N(p)) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $N(p)$ satisfies all conditions given above. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let a, b be positive integers such that ab is square free. Let $([N],[L])$ and $([L],[M])$ be representable pairs of ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices by scaling a and b, respectively. Then the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is exactly same to the juxtaposition bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}_{gen(L)_S}(gen(N)_S, gen(M)_S)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that each set of edges for both graphs is same, which follows directly from the above lemma. □

Let $([N],[M])$ be a representable pair of ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices by scaling p, where p is a prime. Then $\lambda_p(N) \simeq M$ or M is a Γ_p-descendent of N by Lemma 5.1. If the former holds, then the bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is $(1,1)$-regular or $(1,2)$-regular by Lemma 2.4. Furthermore, it is $(1,1)$-regular if and only if N is of H-type at p. Note that $(1,4)$-regularity is impossible in our situation.

Now, assume that the latter holds. Then there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L and a nonnegative integer m such that $N \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ and $M \in \mathcal{G}_{L,p}(m)$. If the graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ is of E-type, then the bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is $(1,2)$-regular by Lemma 3.2, and if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ is of E-type, then the bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is $(2,1)$-regular. Finally, if both $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ are of O-type, then the bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is $(1,1)$-regular. Note that both $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ cannot be of E-type simultaneously. We say N is of (E,O)-type ((O,E)-type) at p if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ ($\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$, respectively) is of E-type. Finally, we say N is of (O,O)-type if both $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m+1)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{L,p}(m)$ are of O-type.

Let $([N],[M])$ be a representable pair of ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices by scaling n, where n is a square free positive integer. Without loss of generality, we assume that M^n is a sublattice of N with index n. Choose a basis $\{x_1,x_2,x_3\}$ for N such that $M^n=\mathbb{Z}x_1+\mathbb{Z}x_2+\mathbb{Z}(nx_3)$. Let n_2 be a product of primes p dividing n such that the rank of a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_p$-modular component in a Jordan decomposition of N_p is two, and let n_1 be the integer satisfying $n=n_1n_2$. Let $n_2(e)$ be a product of primes q dividing n_2 such that $\mathrm{ord}_q(4\cdot dM)\equiv 0 \pmod 2$, and let $n_2(o)$ be the integer satisfying $n_2=n_2(e)n_2(o)$. Define a ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice

$$L_{N,M}=(\mathbb{Z}x_1+\mathbb{Z}x_2+\mathbb{Z}(n_1n_2(e)x_3))^{\frac{1}{n_1n_2(e)}}.$$

Note that pair $([N],[L_{N.M}])$ $(([L_{N,M}],[M]))$ is a representable pair by scaling $n_1n_2(e)$ ($n_2(o)$, respectively). Let $g_{N,M}=g(L_{N,M})$ be the number of (proper) spinor genera in the genus of $L_{N,M}$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let n be a square free positive integer and let $([N],[M])$ be a representable pair by scaling n. Then, any connected component of the bipartite graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is a complete $K_{\alpha,\beta}$-graph, where*

$$\alpha=\frac{g(M)}{g_{N,M}} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \beta=\frac{g(N)}{g_{N,M}}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that M^n is a sublattice of N with index n. Let $n_1n_2(e)=p_1p_2\dots p_s$ and $n_2(o)=q_1q_2\dots q_t$, where each p_i and q_j is a prime. By Lemma 5.3, the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is a juxtaposition of the graphs $\mathfrak{G}(N,L_{N,M})$ and $\mathfrak{G}(L_{N,M},M)$, where $L_{N,M}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice defined above. Let $\{x_1,x_2,x_3\}$ be a basis for N such that $L_{N,M}^{n_1n_2(e)}=\mathbb{Z}x_1+\mathbb{Z}x_2+\mathbb{Z}(n_1n_2(e)x_3)$. Define

$$N(i)=(\mathbb{Z}x_1+\mathbb{Z}x_2+\mathbb{Z}(p_1p_2\dots p_ix_3))^{\frac{1}{p_1p_2\cdots p_i}}.$$

Then the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,L_{N,M})$ is a juxtaposition of the graphs

$$\mathfrak{G}(N,N(1)),\mathfrak{G}(N(1),N(2)),\dots,\mathfrak{G}(N(s-1),L_{N,M}),$$

all of which are either a $(1,1)$-regular graph or a $(1,2)$-regular graph. Therefore the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,L_{N,M})$ is a $\left(1,\frac{g(N)}{g_{N,M}}\right)$-regular graph. Similarly, one may easily check that the graph $\mathfrak{G}(L_{N,M},M)$ is a $\left(\frac{g(M)}{g_{N,M}},1\right)$-regular graph. The theorem follows from these two observations. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let n be a square free positive integer and let $([N],[M])$ be a representable pair by scaling n. Assume that $g(N)=g(M)$. Then $g(N)=g_{N,M}$ if and only if the genus-correspondence $gen(N)/\sim\ \times gen(M)/\sim$ respects spinor genus.*

Proof. Note that the genus-correspondence $\mathrm{gen}(N)/\sim\ \times\mathrm{gen}(M)/\sim$ respects spinor genus if and only if the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N,M)$ is $(1,1)$-regular. Hence the corollary follows directly from the above theorem. □

Recall that we are assuming that n is a square free positive integer and $([N],[M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n. Now we further assume that $g(N)=g_{N,M}=$

$g(M)$, that is, the genus-correspondence $\mathrm{gen}(N)/\sim \times \mathrm{gen}(M)/\sim$ respects spinor genus by Theorem 5.4. Assume that

$$\mathrm{gen}(N)_S = \{\mathrm{spn}(N_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, g\} \text{ and } \mathrm{gen}(M)_S = \{\mathrm{spn}(M_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, g\},$$

and there is a unique edge containing $\mathrm{spn}(N_i)$ and $\mathrm{spn}(M_i)$ in the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N, M)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let k be a positive integer. Under the assumptions given above, $spn(N_i)$ represents nk if and only if $spn(M_i)$ represents k, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$.*

Proof. First assume that $n = p$ is a prime. Then $\lambda_p(N) \simeq M$ or M is a Γ_p-descendant of N by Lemma 5.1. If the former holds, one may easily show that N represents pk if and only if M represents k. If the latter holds, then also one may easily show that N represents pk if and only if at least one of Γ_p-descendants of N represents k. Hence the lemma follows directly from this.

Let $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$, where each p_i is a prime. We may assume that M^n is a sublattice of N with index n. Let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be a basis for N such that $M^n = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \mathbb{Z}(nx_3)$. Define

$$N(i) = (\mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \mathbb{Z}(p_1 p_2 \dots p_i x_3))^{\frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i}}.$$

Then the graph $\mathfrak{G}(N, M)$ is a juxtaposition of the graphs

$$\mathfrak{G}(N, N(1)), \mathfrak{G}(N(1), N(2)), \dots, \mathfrak{G}(N(r-1), M).$$

Since $g(N) = g_{N,M} = g(M)$, we know that each graph $\mathfrak{G}(N(i), N(i+1))$ is a $(1, 1)$-regular graph. Hence the lemma follows directly from the induction on r. □

A set $S = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_g\}$ of integers is said to be a complete system of spinor exceptional integers for $\mathrm{gen}(L)$, for some ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L, if for any subset $U \subset S$, there is a unique $\mathrm{spn}(L') \in \mathrm{gen}(L)_S$ such that every integer in U is represented by $\mathrm{spn}(L')$ and every integer in $S - U$ is not represented by $\mathrm{spn}(L')$. For details, see [1].

Corollary 5.7. *Under the same assumptions given above, suppose that there is a complete system $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_g\}$ of spinor exceptional integers for $gen(M)$. Then $\{nc_1, nc_2, \cdots, nc_g\}$ is a complete system of spinor exceptional integers for $gen(N)$.*

Proof. The corollary follows directly form the above lemma. □

The following example was first introduced by Jagy in [7].

Example 5.8. Let n be a square free integer that is represented by a sum of two integral squares. Define ternary $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices

$$N = \langle 1, 1, 16n \rangle \text{ and } M = \langle 1, 1, 16 \rangle.$$

Then one may easily check that $([N], [M])$ is a representable pair by scaling n and $g(N) = g_{N,M} = g(M) = 2$. Note that $\{1\}$ is a complete system of spinor exceptional integers for $\mathrm{gen}(M)$. By Corollary 5.7, we know that $\{n\}$ is a complete system of spinor exceptional integers for $\mathrm{gen}(N)$. In fact, Jagy proved in [7] that n is represented by any $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice in $\mathrm{spn}(N)$. However one may easily show that it holds even if n is not square free.

References

[1] J. W. Benham and J. S. Hsia, *On spinor exceptional representations*, Nagoya Math. J. **87**(1982), 247–260.

[2] W. K. Chan and A.G. Earnest, *Discriminant bounds for spinor regular ternary quadratic lattices*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **69**(2004), 545–561.

[3] W. K. Chan, B.-K. Oh, *Finiteness theorems for positive definite n -regular quadratic forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355**(2003), 2385–2396.

[4] W. Duke, and R. Schulze-Pillot, *Representation of integers by positive ternary quadratic forms and equidistribution of lattice points on ellipsoids*, Invent. Math. **99**(1990), 49-?57.

[5] A. G. Earnest and J. S. Hsia, *Spinor norms of local integral rotations,* II, Pacific J. Math. **61**(1975), 71–86.

[6] J. S. Hsia and M. Jöchner, *Almost strong approximations for definite quadratic spaces* Invent. Math. **129**(1997), 471-487.

[7] W. C. Jagy, *Integral positive ternary quadratic forms*, Quadratic and higher degree forms, Dev. Math. **31**(2013), 169–179.

[8] J. Ju, I. Lee and B.-K. Oh, *A generalization of Watson transformation and representations of ternary quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **167**(2016), 202–231.

[9] Y. Kitaoka, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[10] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1963.

[11] R. Schulze-Pillot, *Darstellung durch definite ternare quadratische Formen und das Bruhat-Tits-Gebaude der Spingruppe*, Dissertation U, Göttingen 1979.

[12] R. Schulze-Pillot, *Darstellung durch spinorgeschlechter ternärer quadratischer formen* , J. Number Theory **12**(1980), 529–540.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

E-mail address: jjw@snu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

E-mail address: bkoh@snu.ac.kr